STATE OF NEVADA

EMPLOYEE-MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE

MEETING TRANSCRIPT

OCTOBER 7, 2021

MULTIPLE: There. Imma just go to Jackson. You're going to Jackson. The June saw looks like, oh, Johnny Cash. Yeah. So basically I would've done, this would've been like part of my -- that I -- I would've had, so I would've proof like my -- and then I (inaudible) just to still talk to you.

PARKER: We're still all -- all Let's go ahead and, uh, call this meeting to order. Can you guys hear me in Nevada?

WEISS: Yes, we can.

PARKER: All right. Okay. Calling the meeting to order at nine, I think it says 02 or oh 9:03, we'll say a.m. on Thursday, October 7. And we're gonna do something a little different whenever you're speaking, you can pull your mask down to talk as soon as you're done, you need to put it back up. Okay. I'm just gonna say that real quick 'cause -- thank you. Staff brought that to our attention that we could do that. Um, so the employee management committee, uh, comes to order and we're in two different locations. Nevada State Librarian Archives in Carson City and Grant Sawyer building in Las Vegas. This is an in-person meeting and we'll comply with the governor's mandate of social distancing and mask wearing

for all individuals, whether vaccinated or not vaccinated.

And the mask must be worn properly to cover the nose and mouth at all times. The sites are connected by video conference microphones and cameras down south will be looking in front of us. Uh, the microphone and camera is -- is that way. And then in Southern Nevada you can explain to them where to speak towards, um, and to look so that we can see them as well. I -- I can't tell how many people you have up there.

LEATHER: Can you guys hear us?

BAUER: Yes.

LEATHER: Okay. So the camera's right up there. So when we speak, you have to face that way.

BAUER: Okay.

MERRILL: Thank you.

WHITTEN: Thank you.

PARKER: Evacuations, um, in Carson City will follow brief and Mandy, or mad -- Maddy, I'm sorry, and -- and uh, will follow them out to the -- either the front of the archives building or the back archives building, depending on what the issue is. And then in Southern Nevada, you will follow.

WHITTEN: Well for Southern Nevada, we'll go out the door right here and to the left, all the way out to the parking lot.

PARKER: Awesome. Thank you. I'm gonna ask everybody

to speak clearly and toward the cameras, uh, whenever speaking. And, um, let's do -- uh, I'm gonna -- well, I wanna do introductions first before public comment, and I have that right to do that, um, so we'll go around the room in Southern Nevada. If you can just say who you are, who you're with, and we'll start with the committee members please.

WHITTEN: Sonja Whitten, division of, uh, division -Division of Insurance.

WEISS: Todd Weiss, Attorney General's office.

WRIGHT: Ivory Wright, EMC Admin Clerk.

GITTER: Warren Bill Gitter with Ely State Prison,
Nevada Department of Corrections.

LEATHERS: Christina Leathers, assistant to the Director of Nevada Department of Corrections.

SMITH: Kimberly Smith, acting Chief of Human Resources NDOC.

GATES: Brian Gates, Human Resource Manager. Carson City.

WHEELER: Uh, senior Correctional Officer. Daniel Wheeler. Um, NDOC.

PARKER: Okay, well, let's come down or come up to Carson City. We'll start with Member Bowers.

BOWERS: Jennifer Bowers, state Public Charter School Authority.

PARKER: Stephanie Parker, NCUNR, and EMC chair.

MERRILL: Good morning. Michelle Merrill, DO Vocational Rehabilitation.

FLORES: Reese Flores. And I would like to welcome you our new EMC coordinator. Her name is Maddie. Thank you.

MADDIE: Thanks.

2.4

PARKER: Okay, so we'll go ahead and, uh, start with public comment. Uh, no voter action may be taken upon a matter raised during public comment until the matter itself has been specifically included on an agenda as an item upon which action may be taken. Comments will be limited to five minutes per person, and persons making comment will be asked to begin by stating their name for the record. Is that any public comment in Southern Nevada?

BAUER: I don't see anyone.

PARKER: Okay. Any public comment in Northern Nevada? Hearing none, we'll move on to, um -- item number four on the agenda adoption of the agenda.

BAUER: Ms. Chair, this is Jennifer.

PARKER: Yes.

BAUER: This to adopt.

PARKER: Okay. We have a motion. Do we have a second?

WHITTEN: Sonja Whitten for the record? I second.

PARKER: All right. Any discussion? All in favor?

BAUER: Aye.

WHITTEN: Aye.

PARKER: Any opposed? So moved. Um, okay. So first on our agenda. Her agenda is, uh, grievance 6917. Before we get started, um, I'm gonna ask that if there are witnesses or the grievance that they, you know, come forward, acknowledge themselves 'cause I'll -- I'll swear you in in a minute. just wanna kind of explain real briefly what the process is gonna look like, um, for your scheduling orders receive, each party has allowed up to one hour at the discretion of the chair to present his or her matter. Committee members may ask questions during any of the phases to ensure they understand the presentations. And, um, it'll, the -- the typical, uh -uh, rundown or order is opening statement by the employee and then opening statement by the agency representatives or representative. And a pre -- followed by a presentation by the employees of the employee's case. And to that can include witnesses if applicable. Um, and then the agency can cross examine. Next is the presentation of the agency's case to include witnesses of applicable followed by employees crossexamination and questioning. Then we'll have closing statements by the -- the employee and then the agency at the conclusion of each grievance. The committee will deliberate and take a vote. During the deliberation, the parties may not participate or provide additional information unless questioned by the committee. The written decision will be provided within 45 days after the hearing. So and just so you

1

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

know, per NAC, witnesses shall state their name and just work location, not get patient for the record, we don't need her address. Um, so we have 6917, and that would be for, uh, Tanya Ar -- Armandarez. Arma -
LEATHERS: Good morning chair.

PARKER: Armandarez. I'm sorry.

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

LEATHERS: Good morning, chair. Christina Leathers for the record, um, it does not appear that Ms. Armandarez has, um, com -- submitted a packet, um, or is present here in the Las Vegas office. I am aware that Ms. Armandarez is, um, looking at retiring soon. And on that basis, um, I'm asking that this grievance be dismissed.

PARKER: Okay. Point of order. You're sitting as a committee member today, correct?

LEATHERS: No ma'am, I'm not.

PARKER: Okay. As the other party?

LEATHERS: I'm representing the agency.

PARKER: Oh, okay. All right. So Tanya Ar --

LEATHERS: Armandarez.

PARKER: Armandarez is not present.

LEATHERS: That is correct.

PARKER: Okay. So we just move on to the next. That's Weiss Todd. Todd?

WEISS: Yeah. It's within your discretion to dismiss it if the party hasn't presented to argue or case.

BAUER: Ms. Chair, this is Jennifer. 1 PARKER: Yes, please. 2 We've had situations similar to this where 3 BAUER: neither the employer or the employee showed up. 4 5 PARKER: Yep. BAUER: Um, one or both. And, um, my recent 6 7 recollection is when the employee did not show up and we did not have employee packet, the committee did vote to grant the motion to dismiss. PARKER: Okay. Because there -- okay, so motion to 10 11 dismiss does not have to be in written format. BAUER: That's a question for the --12 That -- that's my question. I know we can --13 PARKER: we can move forward. And since they're not here. I mean --14 15 BAUER: We've entertained those requests before in, uh, verbal form during the open meeting. But I would defer to 16 Todd Weiss. 17 18 PARKER: Todd Weiss. No, uh, Ms. Uh, member (inaudible) is correct. 19 20 Um, you can entertain a verbal motion to dismiss, uh, with the 21 party not present.

PARKER: Okay. So there's a no show. There's, um, discussion of, uh, motion to dismiss. Is there a motion?

BAUER: Ms. Chair, this is Jennifer.

PARKER: Uh-huh.

22

23

2.4

BAUER: I move to grant the motion to dismiss for 1 grievance number 6917 based on the fact that the grievance did 2 3 not appear. PARKER: Is there a second? 4 5 MERRILL: This is Michelle Merrill. I second that 6 motion. 7 PARKER: Any discussion? Hearing no discussion uh, all those in favor? 8 9 BAUER: Aye. 10 MERRILL: Aye. 11 PARKER: Any pro -- opposition? Motion carries. on. We're gonna move on to item number six on the agenda 12 Grievance of Daniel Wheeler, 7252. Is, uh, Daniel Wheeler 13 present? 14 WHEELER: Uh, yes. 15 16 Okay, awesome. So I'm gonna swear you in. PARKER: And do you promise to tell the truth, uh, the whole truth and 17 18 nothing but the truth? WHEELER: Yes. 19 20 PARKER: And state your name for the record again. 21 WHEELER: Uh, senior Correctional Officer Daniel 22 Wheeler. 23 Awesome, thank you. I just want to remind both sides to be respectful during the hearing. The parties 24

will need wo -- to return to work tomorrow, and as such, we

are here to listen to the employee's concerns and the employer's perspective. Um, so I just want us to be cognizant of that. Um, the committee members have carefully read the material provided with that said, redundant testimony and inefficient use of the time each party has for the presentation. Presentation is viewed by the committee as ineffective committee members may ask questions of a party or witness at any time during the proceeding upon recognition by the chair. So we're gonna go ahead and start with -- start with, are there any objections to the packets that have been presented from either side?

LEATHERS: Uh, no ma'am. I did not receive the employee's packet and I did not submit a packet on and behalf of the employer. Sorry. Uh, Christina Leathers for the record.

PARKER: Okay. That -- yeah. The agency did not receive a packet.

LEATHERS: Uh, no ma'am. I did not receive a packet.
Um, if chair, if I may?

PARKER: Mm-hmm.

1.5

LEATHERS: Uh, Christina Leathers for the record. Uh, senior Correctional Officer Wheeler and I had an opportunity along with Warden Gitter, um, to chat prior to the hearing this morning. Um, and based on our discussion, um, senior Correctional Officer Wheeler is willing to withdraw his

grievance on the basis that he have an opportunity to participate, um, in the, um, revision to AR 326, um, as well as, uh, on the basis of the conversation that he had with the warden in regards to mandated overtime. Um, I will defer to Senior Correctional Officer, uh, Wheeler to go into further detail at, um, the EMC's request.

PARKER: Mr. Wheeler, do you wanna respond to that? I just kind of out of our order and stuff, but a unique situation, so please.

WHEELER: No, I agree. Uh, we did come up with a resolution and I would like to withdraw, uh, my grievance at this time, uh, based on what the meeting that we just said we had.

PARKER: Okay. Thank you. And do you need him to send something in writing an email? I'd like you, uh, what we need you to do is send an email in writing confirming that you are withdrawing this grievance.

WHEELER: Okay.

PARKER: And if anything happens later, you always have the right to, you know, submit a new grievance. But we just need this for the doc -- for documentation for the records.

WHEELER: Okay. I will email, uh, the letter tomorrow when I, uh, go back to work.

PARKER: Okay. That'll work. Thank you so much. I appreciate it.

WHITTEN: Ms. Chair, can I ask a question?

PARKER: Yes.

1.5

 $\label{eq:WHITTEN: Um, and through you to the agency, if -- if I $$ may.$

PARKER: Absolutely.

WHITTEN: Why wasn't this done before an EMC hearing was scheduled? Why wasn't there an attempt at resolution with the grievance prior -- prior to coming to an open meeting with all the effort that goes into it?

LEATHERS: Uh, Christina Le -- LeatherS -- Christina

Leathers for the record. Um, this is a grievance that was

previously held over, um, in, uh, to wait for the collective

bargaining agreement to be put in place. Um, and

unfortunately due to the fact that NDOC remains in emergent

operations, it's just one of those items that we could not get

on the calendar before today's meeting.

PARKER: Okay. Thank you for that answer. It -- it like raises question that you did not receive the packet that was telling me that you really were unaware of it, but you were actually working on this prior to today.

LEATHERS: Um, the grieve -- uh, Christina Leathers for the record. So this particular grievance, uh, is from 2020, um, I believe in May or June of this calendar year. We had a hearing and they were held over to come after -- come to hearing after the CBA was ratified. Um, and then based on the

information that's come out, this grievance would not be governed by the CBA and so, um, that was part of the reason why nothing was done 'cause we weren't certain how this was gonna be managed with the CBA going into effect.

PARKER: Any comments by any other committee members?

BAUER: Ms. Chair, this is Jennifer again.

PARKER: Yes.

2.4

BAUER: I appreciate the, the opinion of the agency.

Um, I think that's helpful context. We have heard from members of DHRM's. Collective bargaining unit that the CBAs were in effect in July one. I believe today is October 7th.

So I'm gonna go as bold as, um, with your permission to encourage the agency.

PARKER: Yes.

BAUER: If there is an attempt at a resolution, I would request and respect that it be done prior to scheduling of an EMC, especially if it's pursuant to a collective bargaining arrangement that has been in effect since July 1.

PARKER: I agree.

BAUER: Thank you.

PARKER: So the agency, could you a -- a -- affirm that you, you will actually take that into consideration in the future?

LEATHERS: Yes. Christina Leathers for the record Yes. Um, that will be taken into consideration. Um, please

keep in mind that the agency did not actually get their, um, HR training on the CBA until late August. So yes, we will take in that into account for future hearings.

PARKER: Okay. That would be great. Yeah. And even if we found out a month before this hearing, that would be great because all the work that goes into these would not have had been done. So August, September. So if we knew by September, this wouldn't have even been on the agenda, so, okay.

LEATHERS: Thank you.

PARKER: And I already took a vote on that. I already took a vote on it. Right. Okay. I did in my mind. Uh, we're gonna move on to item number seven, which is Michael Peterson grievance 7752. Is Michael Peterson present?

LEATHERS: Uh, Christina Leathers for the record, if Mr. Peterson was present, he would be in Cars -- The Carson City hearing room.

PARKER: Oh, okay.

LEATHERS: So he's gone.

PETERSON: Good day.

LEATHERS: Drive safe.

PARKER: Okay -- okay, so I don't see him here was somebody from Department of Corrections representing -- were -- Christina, were you, um, Ms. Leathers, were you representing (inaudible) on this one or no?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

/

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

2.4

second?

25

MERRILL: T

PARKER:

This is Michelle Merrill. I will second.

Okay. We've got a motion.

LEATHERS: Yeah. Christina Leathers for the record?

Yes ma'am. I am representing the agency on this one as well.

PARKER: Okay. So Mr. Peterson is not present and he did not su -- su -- you wouldn't have gotten an employee packet on this from us 'cause he did not submit for this grievance. So I'll open the floor for a motion.

BAUER: Ms. Chair, this is Jennifer.

PARKER: Yes.

BAUER: Just to be extra clear, the grievance is not present and we did not receive grievance packets for this grievance.

PARKER: Correct.

BAUER: Did we receive employer packets?

FLORES: Reece Flores, for the record, we did not receive employer packet nor, um, agreement packets on this agreement.

BAUER: Yeah. Ms. Chair, this is Jennifer.

PARKER: Yes.

BAUER: Consistent with past decisions and, um, with the motion made just earlier this morning, I moved to, um, dismiss grievance number 7752 based on the fact that the Greivant did not appear.

Do we have a

PARKER: Any discussion? All those in favor? 1 BAUER: Aye. 2 FLORES: Aye. 3 4 MERRILL: Aye. 5 LEATHERS: Aye. Any opposed? So moved. Okay, we're gonna 6 PARKER: 7 move on to, um, number eight. And these are discussions for possible action. Um, these last two, so we're gonna start with number eight is grievance 7504. Gloria, department of Corrections. 10 11 LEATHERS: Chair, Christina Leathers. For the record, I'm -- um, as a -- a -- as the agency representative, am I 12 able to give information on item eight or nine? 13 PARKER: No. 14 LEATHERS: Okay. I just wanted to verify. So we're 15 free to go? 16 17 PARKER: Yep. You're free to go. 18 LEATHERS: All right. Thank you, ma'am. Thanks. All right. 19 PARKER: 20 LEATHERS: You have a good day. 21 PARKER: So I don't -- um, I'll just throw out my -- my 22 first thought is I'm trying to find a violation of policy, you 23 know what I'm saying? Um, and I'm not seeing it. And I don't know if this was just a temporary thing too. It sounds like 24

25

it was.

BAUER: Ms. Chair, this is Jennifer.

PARKER: Yes.

1.5

BAUER: I agree with you. I -- since I'm being bold today, um, I -- I feel like this step two response was very, very weak and not informative or helpful to the grievance or the employer actually for that matter at all.

PARKER: Right.

BAUER: And, um, there was actually no step three response by the employer at all.

PARKER: Right?

PARKER:

BAUER: So this grievance to me feels like the employer is blowing it off and not doing anything at their responsibility to resolve it. I see nothing substantive in here to demonstrate that the agency did or did not violate law record policy. Um, the employee was transferred. We don't know if that transfer transfer was in accordance with policy. The employee wasn't given sufficient information. We don't know if it's actually in result as -- as a result of an EEO investigation or not. Um, so I think we need to hear it.

WHITTEN: Sonja Whitten, for the record, uh, I would agree that, um, additional information would be beneficial in making a decision. And -- and I think the -- uh, the employer not responding, um, is -- uh, is just -- just reason enough to, um, give this employee a hearing so that, uh, we can fully

Absolutely. I agree -- I agree.

1 flesh out what -- what happened. Awesome. Michelle. 2 PARKER: MERRILL: I -- thank you, Michelle Merrill. 3 completely agree and I was even bothered that at the step two 4 5 response, some of the language seems can as to other responses we read. And that seems disrespectful to the individual, the 6 7 importance of what they're going through, right. So I -- I agree it should be heard. 8 9 PARKER: All right. BAUER: Ms. Chair, this is Jennifer. 10 11 PARKER: Yep. Yes, Jennifer. 12 BAUER: Thank you. Um, I move to -- um, move grievance number 7504 to a hearing. 13 PARKER: And we've got a motion. Do we have a second? 14 WHITTEN: Sonja Whitten for the record, I will second. 15 Any discussion? All those in favor say aye. 16 PARKER: BAUER: Aye. 17 18 WHITTEN: Aye. Any opposed? So moved. That one won't meet. 19 PARKER: 20 So seven, what does that say? Can you read it? 7504, missed 21 a hearing. Okay. We're gonna move on to number nine, which is grievance 7625 Lamar Gibson. So --22 23 MERRILL: Oh, go ahead.

Um, I'm Michelle Merrill?

2.4

25

PARKER:

MERRILL:

Sorry.

PARKER: Yes.

1.5

MERRILL: Um, this one seems pretty direct in that, um, the documentation supports that they asked for documentation of the continuing education that they mentioned about online or in person. And that shouldn't be your name because homework still requires time. It doesn't matter if you're in person or online. But that said, there's -- it would be very evident if the documentation was provided in -- in the original, um, description of -- of the grievance. It doesn't talk about -- I did submit the documentation. So this seems like it will be one that is easy to determine if compliance was met or not.

PARKER: And I $\operatorname{\mathsf{--}}$ I agree. Cause I think there was an inference that he talked to them, but there was no $\operatorname{\mathsf{--}}$

MERRILL: No statement.

PARKER: Yeah. So I -- I don't know. I -- I'm leaning towards that hearing on this one. I think it'll be an easy one what you said.

BAUER: Ms. Chair, this is Jennifer.

PARKER: Yes.

BAUER: I agree. Um, so not contemplating the substance of the case on how we would decide, because this is just to agenda to answer without a hearing or move to a hearing. Um, I'm not aware of any past decisions based on super shifts. I haven't even heard in my experience. I don't

know if other committee members have heard of a super shift in previous committee meetings, but, um, I don't have anything in my mental file cabinet to draw from in which to based, um, not hearing this on precedent or failure procedure. So I think we need to hear it. And I agree it probably is a simple hearing, but I think we still need to hear it.

PARKER: Yes. (inaudible) Whitten, yeah wanted to contribute there.

WHITTEN: Sonja Whitten for the record. Um, I would agree that, uh, a hearing would, uh, um, be an order for this grievance number 7615 and -- and I motion to, uh, move grievance 7615 to hearing.

PARKER: All right. We've got a motion. Do we have a second?

BAUER: I second that motion (inaudible).

PARKER: Any further discussion. All those in favor?

BAUER: Aye.

1.5

WHITTEN: Aye.

PARKER: Any opposed? So moved. Wow, wow. Um, I just want everybody to know I built in a -- a potential lunch for this. Uh, okay. So I'm gonna do public comment, uh, another time. Um, uh, no voter action may be taken upon a matter raised during public comment until the matter itself has been specifically included on an agenda as an item upon which may action may be taken. Comments will be limited to five minutes

of a person, and persons making comment will be asked to begin 1 by stating their name for the record, any public comment in 2 Southern Nevada? 3 WHITTEN: 4 None. 5 PARKER: All right. Hearing now, we'll move to, uh, Carson, city. Any public comment? You don't have any, so 6 7 we'll move to adjournment. Time, 9:32 a.m. 8 BAUER: 9 PARKER: 9:32 a.m. 10 BAUER: Thank you. 11 PARKER: Awesome. MERRILL: I haven't been here long, but it's never been 12 13 my best. Usually the other extreme. Wow. Thank you everybody. 14 PARKER: Good job here. Yes, have a good day. 15 MULTIPLE: PARKER: Thanks guys. 16 MERRILL: Bye. 17 18 MULTIPLE: All their staff, epitome of efficiency. That was lovely. Yeah, it only works that way if I make 19 20 myself a script. It really does. Perfect. I don't know what happened with that, but I'm -- yeah I'm, that's all right. 21 22 Okay. That's okay. You know mean some people the only thing 23 they're using is their grievance. I don't have an issue with that. There you go. This is my script -- This is my script.

We're gonna don't take your script. Take -- take your script.

24

And it's cause I -- um, mess up with my language too. So my words. END OF MEETING ***